

**SHORT-LIST RANKING FORM – RFP 20-03
24 HOUR BUILDING SECURITY**

| Company Name: <i>Allied</i> | | |
|--|--|----------------|
| TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS/CATEGORY | EVALUATION FACTORS | POINTS AWARDED |
| 0 - 35 | Respondents qualifications, experience, and the experience of key personnel responsible for building security | <i>32</i> |
| 0 - 20 | Responses to client references (reputation, professionalism) and local availability (presence, allocation of resources to this contract) | <i>18</i> |
| 0 - 25 | Proposed Cost to Authority | <i>20</i> |
| 0 - 10 | The Respondent's understanding of and approach to the work to be performed for the Tampa Sports Authority | <i>9</i> |
| 0 - 10 | Overall responsiveness to RFP | <i>9</i> |
| 5 | Disadvantaged Minority / Disadvantaged Women Business Enterprise (DM/DWBE/S-DV/LGBTQ): | <i>0</i> |
| TOTAL CALCULATED POINTS <i>(Possible points=105)</i> | | <i>88</i> |

Note: Please do not discuss these scores with other committee members, firms or anyone other than authorized advisors until the Final Ranking is officially released.

Scored By: _____

[Handwritten Signature]

**SHORT-LIST RANKING FORM – RFP 20-03
24 HOUR BUILDING SECURITY**

| Company Name: <u>645</u> | | |
|---|--|----------------|
| TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS/CATEGORY | EVALUATION FACTORS | POINTS AWARDED |
| 0 - 35 | Respondents qualifications, experience, and the experience of key personnel responsible for building security | 34 |
| 0 - 20 | Responses to client references (reputation, professionalism) and local availability (presence, allocation of resources to this contract) | 19 |
| 0 - 25 | Proposed Cost to Authority | 12 |
| 0 - 10 | The Respondent's understanding of and approach to the work to be performed for the Tampa Sports Authority | 10 |
| 0 - 10 | Overall responsiveness to RFP | 10 |
| 5 | Disadvantaged Minority / Disadvantaged Women Business Enterprise (DM/DWBE/S-DV/LGBTQ): | 0 |
| TOTAL CALCULATED POINTS <i>(Possible points=105)</i> | | 85 |

Note: Please do not discuss these scores with other committee members, firms or anyone other than authorized advisors until the Final Ranking is officially released.

Scored By: _____



**SHORT-LIST RANKING FORM – RFP 20-03
24 HOUR BUILDING SECURITY**

| Company Name: <u>FCS</u> | | |
|---|--|----------------|
| TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS/CATEGORY | EVALUATION FACTORS | POINTS AWARDED |
| 0 - 35 | Respondents qualifications, experience, and the experience of key personnel responsible for building security | 28 |
| 0 - 20 | Responses to client references (reputation, professionalism) and local availability (presence, allocation of resources to this contract) | 17 |
| 0 - 25 | Proposed Cost to Authority | 22 |
| 0 - 10 | The Respondent's understanding of and approach to the work to be performed for the Tampa Sports Authority | 8 |
| 0 - 10 | Overall responsiveness to RFP | 7 |
| 5 | Disadvantaged Minority / Disadvantaged Women Business Enterprise (DM/DWBE/S-DV/LGBTQ): | 0 |
| TOTAL CALCULATED POINTS <i>(Possible points=105)</i> | | 82 |

Note: Please do not discuss these scores with other committee members, firms or anyone other than authorized advisors until the Final Ranking is officially released.

Scored By: _____



**SHORT-LIST RANKING FORM – RFP 20-03
24 HOUR BUILDING SECURITY**

| Company Name: <u>WESS</u> | | |
|--|--|----------------|
| TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS/CATEGORY | EVALUATION FACTORS | POINTS AWARDED |
| 0 - 35 | Respondents qualifications, experience, and the experience of key personnel responsible for building security | 20 |
| 0 - 20 | Responses to client references (reputation, professionalism) and local availability (presence, allocation of resources to this contract) | 14 |
| 0 - 25 | Proposed Cost to Authority | 24 |
| 0 - 10 | The Respondent's understanding of and approach to the work to be performed for the Tampa Sports Authority | 6 |
| 0 - 10 | Overall responsiveness to RFP | 6 |
| 5 | Disadvantaged Minority / Disadvantaged Women Business Enterprise (DM/DWBE/S-DV/LGBTQ): | 5 |
| TOTAL CALCULATED POINTS <i>(Possible points=105)</i> | | 75 |

Note: Please do not discuss these scores with other committee members, firms or anyone other than authorized advisors until the Final Ranking is officially released.

Scored By: _____

**SHORT-LIST RANKING FORM – RFP 20-03
24 HOUR BUILDING SECURITY**

| Company Name: <i>Excel</i> | | |
|--|--|----------------|
| TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS/CATEGORY | EVALUATION FACTORS | POINTS AWARDED |
| 0 - 35 | Respondents qualifications, experience, and the experience of key personnel responsible for building security | <i>15</i> |
| 0 - 20 | Responses to client references (reputation, professionalism) and local availability (presence, allocation of resources to this contract) | <i>14</i> |
| 0 - 25 | Proposed Cost to Authority | <i>22</i> |
| 0 - 10 | The Respondent's understanding of and approach to the work to be performed for the Tampa Sports Authority | <i>5</i> |
| 0 - 10 | Overall responsiveness to RFP | <i>5</i> |
| 5 | Disadvantaged Minority / Disadvantaged Women Business Enterprise (DM/DWBE/S-DV/LGBTQ): | <i>X</i> |
| TOTAL CALCULATED POINTS <i>(Possible points=105)</i> | | <i>61</i> |

Note: Please do not discuss these scores with other committee members, firms or anyone other than authorized advisors until the Final Ranking is officially released.

Scored By: _____



**SHORT-LIST RANKING FORM – RFP 20-03
24 HOUR BUILDING SECURITY**

| Company Name: <i>Security One</i> | | |
|--|--|----------------|
| TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS/CATEGORY | EVALUATION FACTORS | POINTS AWARDED |
| 0 - 35 | Respondents qualifications, experience, and the experience of key personnel responsible for building security | <i>16</i> |
| 0 - 20 | Responses to client references (reputation, professionalism) and local availability (presence, allocation of resources to this contract) | <i>13</i> |
| 0 - 25 | Proposed Cost to Authority | <i>21</i> |
| 0 - 10 | The Respondent's understanding of and approach to the work to be performed for the Tampa Sports Authority | <i>5</i> |
| 0 - 10 | Overall responsiveness to RFP | <i>4</i> |
| 5 | Disadvantaged Minority / Disadvantaged Women Business Enterprise (DM/DWBE/S-DV/LGBTQ): | <i>Q</i> |
| TOTAL CALCULATED POINTS <i>(Possible points=105)</i> | | <i>59</i> |

Note: Please do not discuss these scores with other committee members, firms or anyone other than authorized advisors until the Final Ranking is officially released.

Scored By: *[Signature]*

**SHORT-LIST RANKING FORM – RFP 20-03
24 HOUR BUILDING SECURITY**

| Company Name: PAI american | | |
|--|--|----------------|
| TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS/CATEGORY | EVALUATION FACTORS | POINTS AWARDED |
| 0 - 35 | Respondents qualifications, experience, and the experience of key personnel responsible for building security | 12 |
| 0 - 20 | Responses to client references (reputation, professionalism) and local availability (presence, allocation of resources to this contract) | 11 |
| 0 - 25 | Proposed Cost to Authority | 16 |
| 0 - 10 | The Respondent's understanding of and approach to the work to be performed for the Tampa Sports Authority | 6 |
| 0 - 10 | Overall responsiveness to RFP | 4 |
| 5 | Disadvantaged Minority / Disadvantaged Women Business Enterprise (DM/DWBE/S-DV/LGBTQ): | 0 |
| TOTAL CALCULATED POINTS <i>(Possible points=105)</i> | | 49 |

Note: Please do not discuss these scores with other committee members, firms or anyone other than authorized advisors until the Final Ranking is officially released.

Scored By: _____



**SHORT-LIST RANKING FORM – RFP 20-03
24 HOUR BUILDING SECURITY**

| Company Name: <u>Elite</u> | | |
|--|--|----------------|
| TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS/CATEGORY | EVALUATION FACTORS | POINTS AWARDED |
| 0 - 35 | Respondents qualifications, experience, and the experience of key personnel responsible for building security | 17 |
| 0 - 20 | Responses to client references (reputation, professionalism) and local availability (presence, allocation of resources to this contract) | 12 |
| 0 - 25 | Proposed Cost to Authority | 8 |
| 0 - 10 | The Respondent's understanding of and approach to the work to be performed for the Tampa Sports Authority | 6 |
| 0 - 10 | Overall responsiveness to RFP | 5 |
| 5 | Disadvantaged Minority / Disadvantaged Women Business Enterprise (DM/DWBE/S-DV/LGBTQ): | 0 |
| TOTAL CALCULATED POINTS <i>(Possible points=105)</i> | | 48 |

Note: Please do not discuss these scores with other committee members, firms or anyone other than authorized advisors until the Final Ranking is officially released.

Scored By: _____

